

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/705,197	BABYCHUK ET AL.	
	Examiner Cynthia Collins	Art Unit 1638	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Cynthia Collins. (3) _____.

(2) Alexander Spiegler. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 April 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

46-49, 51-66

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: agreed to examiner's amendment to 1) add cross reference to parent application to the specification, and 2) correct the misnumbering of the claims: specifically, there is no claim 45, and claims 46-48 depend from claim 45; accordingly, misnumbered claims 46-49 and 51-66 are to be renumbered 45-48 and 50-65, the dependency of renumbered claims 45-47 are to be amended to depend from claim 44, and renumbered claims 52-56 are to be amended to depend from renumbered claim 51.